

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,885	01/22/2002	Jose Duez	BDL-364XX 5084		
207	7590 01/13/2003				
	TEN, SCHURGIN, GA	EXAMINER			
TEN POST (BOSTON, M	OFFICE SQUARE IA 02109		NGUYEN, TUAN N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3751	<u> </u>	
		DATE MAILED: 01/13/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/009,88	35	DUEZ ET AL.			
		Examine	•	Art Unit			
		Tuan N. N		3751			
The MAI Period for Reply	LING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the	e cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENEI THE MAILING Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT If the period for rep If NO period for rep Failure to reply with Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FO DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC may be available under the provisions of THS from the mailing date of this community specified above is less than thirty (30) by is specified above, the maximum statuhin the set or extended period for reply who by the Office later than three months after adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev nication. days, a reply within the stal utory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	ent, however, may a reply be tutory minimum of thirty (30) of till expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro blication to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Respons	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 November 2001</u> .						
2a)∏ This act	ion is FINAL . 21	b)⊠ This action is	non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Cla		nnlication					
,	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Paper	<u> </u>						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
·	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
,—	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of Referen Notice of Draftspo Information Disclo	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PToosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	O-948) per No(s) <u>3</u> .		nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim1-13 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1,

line 1: "constituted by" is grammatically incorrect and should be --constituted of-(please also change it in all depending claims);

line 4: "and/or" should be --or--;

line 5: "limited thickness \underline{e} " should be --limited thickness-- (please also change it in all depending claims).

In claim 2,

lines 3 and 4: "the range" should be --the range of-- (see also claim 13).

In claim 4,

lines 3 and 4: "MF" is not a known standard abbreviation and therefore should be spelled out so as to clearly identify as to what is being claimed (see also claim 9).

Appropriate correction is required.

In claim 13,

line 3: "1 second (s) to 10 s" should be --1 to 10 seconds--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3751

2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 1, "the pores and/or capillaries" in lines 3-4 appears to lack antecedent basis in the claim. Furthermore, "a writing tip" in line 7 appears to be a double inclusion of that in line 3 and therefore presents a question as to their difference.

With respect to claim 2, "A nib" in line 1 appears to be a double inclusion of that in line 1 of claim 1 and therefore presents a question as to their difference. "A nib" in line 1 should be --The nib-- (please also make correction to claims 3-13) and "a nib in line 2 of claims 7 and 12 should be --the nib--.

With respect to claim 6, it is unclear as to what the structures of "sintered microbeads" in line 2 are and it is unclear as to what the language "localized hot-melting of said microbeads over said thickness" in lines 3-4 is trying to claim (see also claim 12).

With respect to claim 7, it is unclear as to the meet and bound of the languages "consisting firstly in" in line 3 and "consists secondly in" in line 11. It is unclear as to where the method step begins and ends. Since claim 11 further claims more method steps, the Examiner cannot interpret them as an equivalent to --consisting of--. The same goes for claim 12.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,885 Page 4

Art Unit: 3751

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by Hori.

Hori discloses a nib constituted of a segment of a coherent, elongated rod/element of high porosity polyester fiber material (10) having a diameter lying in the range of 2 mm to 15 mm (see col. 7, line 4 et seq.), with at least a first end shaped to form a writing tip (see Figs. 1a-5b). The material includes pores or capillaries blocked over a limited thickness lies in the range of 0.01 mm to 1 mm (see col. 7, line 17 et seq.). A sealing agent (18) of synthetic resin such as epoxy resin, urethane resin, or phenolic resin blocks the pores or capillaries. The resin being claimed in claims 4, 5, and 9 are inherently another form of the above synthetic resin. The elongated element would constituted of "sintered microbeads" since it is being molded or thermal shock under temperature lying in the range of 200°C to 300°C for a period of 10 to 50 seconds (see col. 3, line 50 et seq. and col. 7, lines 18-23). The method as claimed would be inherent during normal manufacture of the Hori nib.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nakano, Kurihara et al., Goldsworthy, Johnson, and Daugherty

Art Unit: 3751

et al. are prior art which disclose nibs and nib manufacturing methods that are applicable to the instant invention.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-306-9046. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (10:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Huson can be reached on 703-308-2580. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7766 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

PATENT EXAMINER

TN January 10, 2003